

Sustainability Bond / Sustainability Bond Programme

External Review Form

	Basic Information	Section 1.
--	-------------------	------------

Issuer name: 遠東新世紀股份有限公司 (下稱遠東新)

Sustainability Bond ISIN or Issuer Sustainability Bond Framework Name, if applicable:

遠東新世紀可持續發展債券投資計畫書

Independent External Review provider's name: EY Taiwan

Completion date of this form: 2020/11/16

Publication date of review publication: 2020/11/16

Section 2. Review overview

SCOPE OF REVIEW

The following may be used or adapted, where appropriate, to summarise the scope of the review.

The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs and the SBPs:

	To the desired and the following of the desired and desired and		angiment man and object and the object
\boxtimes	Use of Proceeds Management of Proceeds	\boxtimes	Process for Project Evaluation and Selection Reporting
ROLE(S	S) OF INDEPENDENT EXTERNAL REVIEW PROVI	DER	
	Second Party Opinion		Certification
\boxtimes	Verification		Scoring/Rating
	Other (please specify):		

Note: In case of multiple reviews / different providers, please provide separate forms for each review.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO FULL REVIEW (if applicable)

依據本事務所執行之程序及所獲取之證據,未發現遠東新於 2020 年 11 月所編修之可持續發展債券投資計畫書有未依照適用基準(國際資本市場協會(ICMA)所發行之 Green Bond Principles(2018)、Social Bond Principle(2020)以及 Sustainability bond Guidelines(2018)) 編製而須作重大修正之情事。

Latest update: June 2020

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section a	li	fap	plicable.):
------------------------------	----	-----	-----------	----

依據本事務所執行之程序及所獲取之證據,未發現遠東新於 2020 年 11 月所編修之可持續發展債券投資計畫書有未依照適用基準(國際資本市場協會(ICMA)所發行之 Green Bond Principles(2018)、Social Bond Principle(2020)以及 Sustainability bond Guidelines(2018)) 編製而須作重大修正之情事。

Use o	of proceeds categories as per GBP:				
×	Renewable energy	\boxtimes	Energy efficiency		
	Pollution prevention and control		Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use		
	Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation		Clean transportation		
×	Sustainable water and wastewater management		Climate change adaptation		
×	Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes	\boxtimes	Green buildings		
	Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs		Other (please specify):		
If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:					
Use of	f proceeds categories as per SBP:				
\boxtimes	Affordable basic infrastructure	\boxtimes	Access to essential services		
	Affordable housing	X	Employment generation / programs designed to prevent and/or alleviate unemployment stemming from socioeconomic crises		
	Food security and sustainable food systems	×	Socioeconomic advancement and empowerment		

	Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with SBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in SBPs	i [□ Other (please specify):	
If appli	cable please specify the social taxonomy, if ot	her tha	n SBPs:	
Target	populations:			
	iving below the poverty line		cluded and/or marginalised populations and ommunities	
□ P	eople with disabilities	□Mi	grants and /or displaced persons	
□ U	Indereducated		derserved, owing to a lack of quality access sential goods and services	
⊠U	Inemployed	□ We	omen and/or sexual and gender minorities	
			ner vulnerable groups, including as a result tural disasters	
図 Other (please specify): 依據 SBP 2017 所列之其他項目,本計畫人權同時包含一般社會大眾 2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION				
Overall comment on section (if applicable): 依據本事務所執行之程序及所獲取之證據,未發現遠東新於 2020 年 11 月所編修之可持續發展債券投資計畫書有未依照適用基準(國際資本市場協會(ICMA)所發行之 Green Bond Principles(2018)、Social Bond Principle(2020)以及 Sustainability bond Guidelines(2018)) 編製而須作重大修正之情事。				
Evaluation and selection				
E v alua ⊠	Credentials on the issuer's social and	\boxtimes	Documented process to determine that	
×	green objectives Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Sustainability Bond		projects fit within defined categories Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project	
	proceeds Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available		Other (please specify):	
Inforn	nation on Responsibilities and Accountab	ility		
	Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to external advice or verification		In-house assessment	
	Other (please specify):			

3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS

<i>5.</i>	, w. Geliveri v. C.					
依據本 資計畫		ICMA)所	新於 2020 年 11 月所編修之可持續發展債券投發行之 Green Bond Principles(2018)、Social 018)) 編製而須作重大修正之情事。			
Trackii	ng of proceeds:					
\boxtimes	Sustainability Bond proceeds segregated o	r tracked	d by the issuer in an appropriate manner			
\boxtimes	Disclosure of intended types of temporary	investm	ent instruments for unallocated proceeds			
	Other (please specify):					
Additio	onal disclosure:					
	Allocations to future investments only	×	Allocations to both existing and future investments			
	Allocation to individual disbursements	\boxtimes	Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements			
×	Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds		Other (please specify):			
4. REP	PORTING					
依據本 資計畫		ICMA)所	新於 2020 年 11 月所編修之可持續發展債券投 發行之 Green Bond Principles(2018)、Social 018)) 編製而須作重大修正之情事。			
Use o	f proceeds reporting:					
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis			
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):			
	Information reported:					
	☑ Allocated amounts		Sustainability Bond financed share of total investment			
	☐ Other (please specify):					
	Frequency:					
	⊠ Annual		Semi-annual			

	☐ Other (please specify):					
Impact reporting:						
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis			
	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):			
	Frequency:					
	⊠ Annual		Semi-annual			
	☐ Other (please specify):					
	Information reported (expected or ex-po	st):				
	☑ GHG Emissions / Savings		Energy Savings			
	☐ Decrease in water use	\boxtimes	Number of beneficiaries			
	□ Target populations		Other ESG indicators (please specify):			
Means	s of Disclosure					
	Information published in financial report		Information published in sustainability report			
	Information published in ad hoc	\boxtimes	Other (please specify): Government requirement			
\boxtimes	documents Reporting reviewed (if yes, please specify which	parts	of the reporting are subject to external review):			
Where	Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.					
USEFUL LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or credentials, to issuer's documentation, etc.) Please refer to OTC website for the issuance report.						
SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE						
	s) of Review provided:					
	Second Party Opinion		Certification			
\boxtimes	Verification		Scoring/Rating			
	Other (please specify):					
Revie	w provider(s):		Date of publication:			
	面面里面面		•			
安水	聯合會計師事務所 計艺稿計 師 師 師 師 師 師 師 問 問 問 問 問 問 問 問 問 同 同 同 同		2020年11月16日			

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP AND THE SBP

1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with sustainability expertise that is independent from the issuer may provide a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Sustainability Bond framework, or appropriate procedures such as information barriers will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion.

It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy, and/or processes relating to sustainability and an evaluation of the environmental and social features of the type of Projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.

- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or sustainability criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally or socially sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Sustainability Bond proceeds, statement of environmental or social impact or alignment of reporting with the Principles may also be termed verification.
- **3. Certification**: An issuer can have its Sustainability Bond or associated Sustainability Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external sustainability standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- **4. Green, Social and Sustainability Bond Scoring/Rating:** An issuer can have its Sustainability Bond, associated Sustainability Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental and/or social performance data, process relative to the Principles, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material sustainability risks.